KIDNEY FUNCTION EVALUATION IN PATIENTS TREATED WITH DABIGATRAN: COMPARISON OF GLOMERULAR FILTRATION RATE ASSESSED BY USING

Gessoni G.1, Valverde S.1, Antico F.1, Salvadego M.1, Gessoni F.1, Valle L.2, Valle R.2 CREATININE AND CYSTATINE

Ospedale Madonna della Navicella - Chioggia (Venice) ITALY 1: Clinical Pathology department - 2: Cardiology department

BACKGROUND

evaluate the kidney function. GFR might be determined using invasive procedures, e.g. clearance of inulin. glomerular filtration rate (GFR) > 90 mL/min. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) estimation is recommended to we report same preliminary results about evaluation of CRE GFR and CYS GFR in a group of patients evaluated Creatinine (CRE) and Cystatin-C (CYS) based estimating equations for GFR have been suggested. In this paper Dabigatran, before Dabigatran treatment. an oral anticoagulant, is 80% renally excreted with a half-life of approximately 13 h with a

MATERIALS and METHODS

following the Lund model. CRE was measured using a IDMS traceable dry chemistry enzymatic method and OCD comparison was performed by using a Student t test an a values p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. analyzer. After GFR calculation these patients were classified as recommended by KDIGO guidelines. In patients patients we performed a basal evaluation of kidney function using CRE and CYS based GFR prediction equations with discordant classification a creatinine clearance was performed Results were reported as mean \pm 1SD, data Vitros 5.1 analyzers. CYS was measured using an immunochemistry IFCC traceable assay and Tosoh AIA 2000 We considered 77 Caucasian patients, 36 males and 41 females, with age between 54 and 85 (mean 76). In these

RESULTS

significant (p=0.03). Bland-Altman elaboration (Figure 2) confirmed that CYS GFR was lower than CRE GFR creatinine clearance confirmed classification performed by using CYS GFR. subjects and discordant in 23 (29%). These results are reported in Figure 3. In 21/23 discordantly classified patients the mean CRE GFR was 58 ± 17 mL/min, the mean CYS GFR was 51 ± 21 mL/min this difference was statistically As reported in Figure 1 we observed a relatively weak correlation between CRE GFR and CYS GFR (R²=0.54) The Following KDIGO criteria, patients classification performed by using CRE GFR or CYS GFR was concordant in 44




Figure 1: CRE GFR and CYS GFR




Figure 2: Bland Altmann




Figure 3: Patients Classification

CONCLUSIONS

this study, although preliminary and in need of confirmation, would seem to suggest that, in this particular subset of clearance which confirmed the classification performed according to CYS GFR in 21 cases (91%). Results obtained in resulted in a different classification in 23 (29%) of considered patients. In these 23 patients, we performed a creatinine and CYS GFR, CYS GFR was significatively lower than CRE GFR. These differences in the estimation of GFR some reliability problems. On the other side CYS GFR is relatively independent of body composition. In this group of Given that Dabigatran is largely cleared by the kidneys unchanged, it is important to assess and compare the patients, the determination of CYS GFR can be a better renal function indicator than CRE GFR. 77 patients evaluated before treatment with Dabigatran we observed: a relatively weak correlation between CRE GFR influenced by muscle mass, age, sex and concomitant diseases. Moreover in elder patients CRE GFR demonstrated performances of the renal function equations in patients treated with Dabigatran etexilat. CRE GFR is strongly